
Inflation and Economic Growth: An analysis of the inflation threshold level of the Nigerian economy

Sadiq Abdulmalik

Cracow University of Economics

February 7, 2023

Conference: ACEFEIB 2023



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - 2 Nigerian economy
 - 3 Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - 3 Economic theory of money
 - 4 Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - 4 Stationarity test
 - 5 Johansen test
 - 6 Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - 5 Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - ❑ Nigerian economy
 - ❑ Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - ❑ Economic theory of money
 - ❑ Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - ❑ Stationarity test
 - ❑ Johansen test
 - ❑ Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - ❑ Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References

Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References

Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - ▣ Nigerian economy
 - ▣ Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - ▣ Economic theory of money
 - ▣ Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - ▣ Stationarity test
 - ▣ Johansen test
 - ▣ Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - ▣ Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References

Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- References



Agenda



- 1 Introduction
 - Nigerian economy
 - Research gap
- 2 Theories
 - Economic theory of money
 - Neoclassical growth theory
- 3 The research
 - Stationarity test
 - Johansen test
 - Error Correction Model
- 4 Empirical Analysis
 - Comparison
- 5 Result
- 6 conclusion
- 7 References



Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Nigerian economy

- 1 Middle-income, mixed economy and emerging market (Duntoye, 2020).
- 2 31st largest economy in nominal GDP & 27th in PPP (World Bank).
- 3 Largest economy in Africa (World Bank).
- 4 Strong manufacturing, financial services, communications, technology & entertainment sectors (USaid.gov, 2017).
- 5 GDP (PPP) increase from \$170 billion in 2000 to \$451 billion in 2012 (Global tenders, 2022).
- 6 Population growth from 120 million in 2000 to 160 million in 2010.
- 7 Oil contributed Two-third of the revenues (Financial times, 2017).

Introduction



Research gap

- ❑ 1 Nigerian economy hasn't recorded an inflation of less than 5% for more than 2 decades.
- ❑ 2 No well-defined model of causation. Other studies focus on impact of inflation on other macro-economic factors.
- ❑ 3 Many studies neglected stationarity of data which results to discrepancies, as in the research by Ogwu (2010) and Fatukasi (2012).
- ❑ 4 None of the studies apply extensive set of variables such as; the economic indicators used in this research work.

Introduction



Research gap

- 1 Nigerian economy hasn't recorded an inflation of less than 5% for more than 2 decades.
- 2 No well-defined model of causation. Other studies focus on impact of inflation on other macro-economic factors.
- 3 Many studies neglected stationarity of data which results to discrepancies, as in the research by Ogwu (2010) and Fatukasi (2012).
- 4 None of the studies apply extensive set of variables such as; the economic indicators used in this research work.

Introduction



Research gap

- ① Nigerian economy hasn't recorded an inflation of less than 5% for more than 2 decades.
- ② No well-defined model of causation. Other studies focus on impact of inflation on other macro-economic factors.
- ③ Many studies neglected stationarity of data which results to discrepancies, as in the research by Ogwu (2010) and Fatukasi (2012).
- ④ None of the studies apply extensive set of variables such as; the economic indicators used in this research work.

Introduction



Research gap

- ① Nigerian economy hasn't recorded an inflation of less than 5% for more than 2 decades.
- ② No well-defined model of causation. Other studies focus on impact of inflation on other macro-economic factors.
- ③ Many studies neglected stationarity of data which results to discrepancies, as in the research by Ogwu (2010) and Fatukasi (2012).
- ④ None of the studies apply extensive set of variables such as; the economic indicators used in this research work.

Introduction



Research gap

- ❑ ① Nigerian economy hasn't recorded an inflation of less than 5% for more than 2 decades.
- ❑ ② No well-defined model of causation. Other studies focus on impact of inflation on other macro-economic factors.
- ❑ ③ Many studies neglected stationarity of data which results to discrepancies, as in the research by Ogwu (2010) and Fatukasi (2012).
- ❑ ④ None of the studies apply extensive set of variables such as; the economic indicators used in this research work.

Economic growth



Classical Growth Theory

- ● Economic growth decreases with increase population and limited resources.

Neoclassical Growth Model

- ● Steady economic growth results with the utilization of Labor, Capital and Technology.

Endogenous Growth Theory

- ● Economic growth is generated internally.

Economic growth



Classical Growth Theory

- 1 Economic growth decreases with increase population and limited resources.

Neoclassical Growth Model

- Steady economic growth results with the utilization of Labor, Capital and Technology.

Endogenous Growth Theory

- 1 Economic growth is generated internally.

Economic growth



Classical Growth Theory

- 1 Economic growth decreases with increase population and limited resources.

Neoclassical Growth Model

- 1 Steady economic growth results with the utilization of Labor, Capital and Technology.

Endogenous Growth Theory

- 1 Economic growth is generated internally.

Economic growth



Classical Growth Theory

- 1 Economic growth decreases with increase population and limited resources.

Neoclassical Growth Model

- 1 Steady economic growth results with the utilization of Labor, Capital and Technology.

Endogenous Growth Theory

- 1 Economic growth is generated internally.

Economic theory of Money



Money supply

- Monetary theory stipulates that increase in the money supply will result to rise in economic activities.

Inflation

- Monetary policy is mostly focus on maintaining stable prices (low inflation), promoting full employment and achieving steady growth in GDP.

Economic theory of Money



Money supply

- 1 Monetary theory stipulates that increase in the money supply will result to rise in economic activities.

Inflation

- 1 Monetary policy is mostly focus on maintaining stable prices (low inflation), promoting full employment and achieving steady growth in GDP.

Economic theory of Money



Money supply

- ① Monetary theory stipulates that increase in the money supply will result to rise in economic activities.

Inflation

- ① Monetary policy is mostly focus on maintaining stable prices (low inflation), promoting full employment and achieving steady growth in GDP.

The research

Research objectives

- 1. Presentation of theories on economic growth in relation to monetary and fiscal policies.
- 2. Identification of research outcomes in the field using different approaches of economic growth and inflation nexus.
- 3. Selection of economic variables and data analysis using different approaches.
- 4. Inflation threshold using the economic model by Khan and Senhadji (2001).

The research

Research objectives

- 1 Presentation of theories on economic growth in relation to monetary and fiscal policies.
- 2 Identification of research outcomes in the field using different approaches of economic growth and inflation nexus.
- 3 Selection of economic variables and data analysis using different approaches.
- 4 Inflation threshold using the economic model by Khan and Senhadji (2001).

The research

Research objectives

- ① Presentation of theories on economic growth in relation to monetary and fiscal policies.
- ② Identification of research outcomes in the field using different approaches of economic growth and inflation nexus.
- ③ Selection of economic variables and data analysis using different approaches.
- ④ Inflation threshold using the economic model by Khan and Senhadji (2001).

The research

Research objectives

- ① Presentation of theories on economic growth in relation to monetary and fiscal policies.
- ② Identification of research outcomes in the field using different approaches of economic growth and inflation nexus.
- ③ Selection of economic variables and data analysis using different approaches.
- ④ Inflation threshold using the economic model by Khan and Senhadji (2001).

The research

Research objectives

- ① Presentation of theories on economic growth in relation to monetary and fiscal policies.
- ② Identification of research outcomes in the field using different approaches of economic growth and inflation nexus.
- ③ Selection of economic variables and data analysis using different approaches.
- ④ Inflation threshold using the economic model by Khan and Senhadji (2001).

The research

Research hypotheses

- 1 Economic growth is a determinant/driver of inflation without which Inflation will be in existed.
- 2 Overall output is the best mechanism that enables economic growth and increase profit on the part of businesses.
- 3 Low inflation is beneficial to the economy, while hyper-economic growth may be detrimental.
- 4 The level of inflation needs to be monitored because it could escalate to hyper-inflation.

The research

Research hypotheses

- ① Economic growth is a determinant/driver of inflation without which Inflation will be in existed.
- ② Overall output is the best mechanism that enables economic growth and increase profit on the part of businesses.
- ③ Low inflation is beneficial to the economy, while hyper-economic growth may be detrimental.
- ④ The level of inflation needs to be monitored because it could escalate to hyper-inflation.

The research

Research hypotheses

- ① Economic growth is a determinant/driver of inflation without which Inflation will be in existed.
- ② Overall output is the best mechanism that enables economic growth and increase profit on the part of businesses.
- ③ Low inflation is beneficial to the economy, while hyper-economic growth may be detrimental.
- ④ The level of inflation needs to be monitored because it could escalate to hyper-inflation.

The research

Research hypotheses

- ① Economic growth is a determinant/driver of inflation without which Inflation will be in existed.
- ② Overall output is the best mechanism that enables economic growth and increase profit on the part of businesses.
- ③ Low inflation is beneficial to the economy, while hyper-economic growth may be detrimental.
- ④ The level of inflation needs to be monitored because it could escalate to hyper-inflation.

The research

Research hypotheses

- ① Economic growth is a determinant/driver of inflation without which Inflation will be in existed.
- ② Overall output is the best mechanism that enables economic growth and increase profit on the part of businesses.
- ③ Low inflation is beneficial to the economy, while hyper-economic growth may be detrimental.
- ④ The level of inflation needs to be monitored because it could escalate to hyper-inflation.

The research

Data source and sample

- ● The Data for the economic indicator Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and the control variables; Inflation (INFL), Gross capital formation (Gcap), Total trade (TTD), Population (POP), were obtained from the World Bank database for the period of 1960 to 2019.
- Economic growth rates was determine using the difference of log GDP ($\log GDP$) and the inflation rates using the difference of log INFL ($\log INFL$).

The research

Data source and sample

- 1 The Data for the economic indicator Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and the control variables; Inflation (INFL), Gross capital formation (Gcap), Total trade (TTD), Population (POP), were obtained from the World Bank database for the period of 1960 to 2019.
- 2 Economic growth rates was determine using the difference of log GDP ($\log GDP$) and the inflation rates using the difference of log INFL ($\log INFL$).

The research

Data source and sample

- 1 The Data for the economic indicator Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and the control variables; Inflation (INFL), Gross capital formation (Gcap), Total trade (TTD), Population (POP), were obtained from the World Bank database for the period of 1960 to 2019.
- 2 Economic growth rates was determine using the difference of log GDP ($\log GDP$) and the inflation rates using the difference of log INFL ($\log INFL$).

Empirical results



Descriptive statistics

| Variable | Observations | Mean | Std. Dev. | Max | Min |
|-----------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Growth | 59 | 0.0000 | 1.2813 | 3.4361 | -3.6411 |
| Inflation | 59 | 0.0125 | 0.4944 | 1.8661 | -1.2063 |



Growth and Inflation seems to share a common trend as shown by the low spread of the mean & standard deviation.

Empirical results



Descriptive statistics

| Variable | Observations | Mean | Std. Dev. | Max | Min |
|-----------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Growth | 59 | 0.0000 | 1.2813 | 3.4361 | -3.6411 |
| Inflation | 59 | 0.0125 | 0.4944 | 1.8661 | -1.2063 |



Growth and Inflation seems to share a common trend as shown by the low spread of the mean & standard deviation.

Empirical results

Stationarity test

| ADF and PP unit root test result | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Variable | ADF (Intercept) | ADF (Intercept and trend) | PP (Intercept) | PP (Intercept and trend) |
| $\log GDP$ | -1.099523(0) | -1.602997(0) | -1.119658(3) | -1.823691(3) |
| $\log INFL$ | -4.014721(1)*** | -4.473874(1)*** | -3.775028(3)*** | -4.126876(3)*** |
| $\Delta \log GDP$ | -6.357625(0)*** | -6.328946(0)*** | -6.338376(3)*** | -6.307223(3)*** |
| $\Delta \log INFL$ | -5.836660(3)*** | -5.983537(5)*** | -9.745568(3)*** | -9.632340(3)*** |

The unit root tests in levels ($\log GDP$ and $\log INFL$) and first differences ($\Delta \log GDP$ and $\Delta \log INFL$) for the Economic growth and Inflation.

- The obtained results shows the variables are stationary at difference for both the ADF and PP which requires the con-integration test to determine the relevance of the model.

Empirical results

Stationarity test

| ADF and PP unit root test result | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Variable | ADF (Intercept) | ADF (Intercept and trend) | PP (Intercept) | PP (Intercept and trend) |
| $\log GDP$ | -1.099523(0) | -1.602997(0) | -1.119658(3) | -1.823691(3) |
| $\log INFL$ | -4.014721(1)*** | -4.473874(1)*** | -3.775028(3)*** | -4.126876(3)*** |
| $\Delta \log GDP$ | -6.357625(0)*** | -6.328946(0)*** | -6.338376(3)*** | -6.307223(3)*** |
| $\Delta \log INFL$ | -5.836660(3)*** | -5.983537(5)*** | -9.745568(3)*** | -9.632340(3)*** |



The unit root tests in levels ($\log GDP$ and $\log INFL$) and first differences ($\Delta \log GDP$ and $\Delta \log INFL$) for the Economic growth and Inflation.



The obtained results shows the variables are stationary at difference for both the ADF and PP which requires the con-integration test to determine the relevance of the model.

Empirical results

Stationarity test

| ADF and PP unit root test result | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Variable | ADF (Intercept) | ADF (Intercept and trend) | PP (Intercept) | PP (Intercept and trend) |
| $\log GDP$ | -1.099523(0) | -1.602997(0) | -1.119658(3) | -1.823691(3) |
| $\log INFL$ | -4.014721(1)*** | -4.473874(1)*** | -3.775028(3)*** | -4.126876(3)*** |
| $\Delta \log GDP$ | -6.357625(0)*** | -6.328946(0)*** | -6.338376(3)*** | -6.307223(3)*** |
| $\Delta \log INFL$ | -5.836660(3)*** | -5.983537(5)*** | -9.745568(3)*** | -9.632340(3)*** |



The unit root tests in levels ($\log GDP$ and $\log INFL$) and first differences ($\Delta \log GDP$ and $\Delta \log INFL$) for the Economic growth and Inflation.



The obtained results shows the variables are stationary at difference for both the ADF and PP which requires the con-integration test to determine the relevance of the model.

Empirical results

Stationarity test summary

| Summary ADF and PP unit root tests | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Variable | ADF (Intercept) | ADF (Intercept and trend) | PP (Intercept) | PP (Intercept and trend) |
| $\log GDP$ | I(1) | I(1) | I(1) | I(1) |
| $\log INFL$ | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) |
| $\Delta \log GDP$ | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) |
| $\Delta \log INFL$ | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) |

GDP and INFL are stationary and integrated of order one I(1) as shown in the summary table, because all the tests at first difference rejected the null hypothesis (stationarity) of unit root for the two variables.

Empirical results

Stationarity test summary

| Summary ADF and PP unit root tests | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Variable | ADF (Intercept) | ADF (Intercept and trend) | PP (Intercept) | PP (Intercept and trend) |
| $\log GDP$ | I(1) | I(1) | I(1) | I(1) |
| $\log INFL$ | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) |
| $\Delta \log GDP$ | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) |
| $\Delta \log INFL$ | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) | I(0) |



GDP and INFL are stationary and integrated of order one I(1) as shown in the summary table, because all the tests at first difference rejected the null hypothesis (stationarity) of unit root for the two variables.

Empirical results

Johansen test

| Maximum Eigenvalue Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 19.96287 | 15.49471 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |

| Trace Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 18.54096 | 14.26460 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |

The Johansen test reveals the likelihood ratio [LR] statistic indicates a co-integration at 5% significance level. The result of is as follows:

- 1 The null hypothesis rejected (No co-integration between Economic growth and Inflation).
- 2 Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation.

Empirical results

Johansen test

| Maximum Eigenvalue Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 19.96287 | 15.49471 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |

| Trace Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 18.54096 | 14.26460 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |



The Johansen test reveals the likelihood ratio [LR] statistic indicates a co-integration at 5% significance level. The result of is as follows:



The null hypothesis rejected (No co-integration between Economic growth and Inflation).



Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation.

Empirical results

Johansen test

| Maximum Eigenvalue Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 19.96287 | 15.49471 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |

| Trace Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 18.54096 | 14.26460 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |



The Johansen test reveals the likelihood ratio [LR] statistic indicates a co-integration at 5% significance level. The result of is as follows:



The null hypothesis rejected (No co-integration between Economic growth and Inflation).



Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation.

Empirical results

Johansen test

| Maximum Eigenvalue Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 19.96287 | 15.49471 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |

| Trace Test | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Hypothesized No. of CE(s) | Eigenvalue | Likelihood Ratio | 5% Critical Value | Prob. |
| None** | 0.277675 | 18.54096 | 14.26460 | 0.0099 |
| At most 1 | 0.024637 | 1.421916 | 3.841466 | 0.2331 |



The Johansen test reveals the likelihood ratio [LR] statistic indicates a co-integration at 5% significance level. The result of is as follows:



The null hypothesis rejected (No co-integration between Economic growth and Inflation).



Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation.

Empirical results

Error Correction Model result

| Error Correction | $\Delta \log GDP$ | $\Delta \log INFL$ |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Constant | 0.016925 (0.063168) [0.27221] | 0.025995 (0.011663) [1.73024] |
| ConstEq1 | -0.462104 (0.16061) [-2.87711] | 0.023297 (0.041111) [0.56648] |
| $\Delta \log GDP(-1)$ | -0.056209 (0.54826) [-0.10252] | 0.155355 (0.14033) [1.10710] |
| $\Delta \log GDP(-2)$ | -0.196643 (0.54834) [-0.35802] | 0.055491 (0.14055) [0.41264] |
| $\Delta \log INFL(-1)$ | 0.100849 (0.14628) [0.68941] | 0.000209 (0.03744) [0.00556] |
| $\Delta \log INFL(-2)$ | -0.347779 (0.13778) [-2.52526] | 0.038017 (0.05286) [0.72064] |
| R-squared | 0.129377 | 0.052648 |
| Adj. R-squared | 0.262535 | -0.042097 |
| Sum sq. resid | 8.717131 | 0.573900 |
| S.E. equation | 0.417544 | 0.106870 |
| F-statistics | 4.319366 | 0.555730 |
| Log likelihood | -27.37884 | 48.93658 |
| Akaike AIC | 1.192101 | -1.533449 |
| Schwarz SC | 1.499103 | -1.334447 |
| Mean dependent | 0.006449 | 0.034073 |
| S.D. dependent | 0.480218 | 0.104090 |

Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation i.e. (series moving independently, or not far away).

In the short-run there is some adjustment process makes the errors converge in the long run.

Empirical results

Error Correction Model result

| Error Correction | $\Delta \log GDP$ | $\Delta \log INFL$ |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Constant | 0.016925 (0.063168) [0.27221] | 0.025995 (0.013643) [1.78024] |
| ConstEq1 | -0.462104 (0.16064) [-2.87711] | 0.023297 (0.041111) [0.56648] |
| $\Delta \log GDP(-1)$ | -0.056209 (0.54826) [-0.10252] | 0.155355 (0.14033) [1.10710] |
| $\Delta \log GDP(-2)$ | -0.196643 (0.54854) [-0.35902] | 0.055491 (0.14055) [0.41264] |
| $\Delta \log INFL(-1)$ | 0.100849 (0.14628) [0.69041] | 0.000209 (0.03744) [0.00556] |
| $\Delta \log INFL(-2)$ | -0.347779 (0.13778) [-2.52656] | 0.038017 (0.05286) [0.72094] |
| R-squared | 0.129377 | 0.052648 |
| Adj. R-squared | 0.262535 | -0.042097 |
| Sum sq. resid | 8.717131 | 0.573900 |
| S.E. equation | 0.417544 | 0.106870 |
| F-statistics | 4.319366 | 0.555730 |
| Log likelihood | -27.37884 | 48.93658 |
| Akaike AIC | 1.192101 | -1.533449 |
| Schwarz SC | 1.499103 | -1.334447 |
| Mean dependent | 0.006449 | 0.034073 |
| S.D. dependent | 0.480218 | 0.104090 |



Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation i.e. (series moving independently, or not far away).



In the short-run there is some adjustment process makes the errors converge in the long run.

Empirical results

Error Correction Model result

| Error Correction | $\Delta \log GDP$ | $\Delta \log INFL$ |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Constant | 0.016925 (0.063168) [0.27221] | 0.025995 (0.013643) [1.78024] |
| ConstEq1 | -0.462104 (0.16061) [-2.87711] | 0.023297 (0.041111) [0.56648] |
| $\Delta \log GDP(-1)$ | -0.056209 (0.54826) [-0.10252] | 0.155355 (0.14033) [1.10710] |
| $\Delta \log GDP(-2)$ | -0.196643 (0.54854) [-0.35902] | 0.025491 (0.14055) [0.41264] |
| $\Delta \log INFL(-1)$ | 0.100849 (0.14628) [0.69041] | 0.000209 (0.03744) [0.00556] |
| $\Delta \log INFL(-2)$ | -0.347779 (0.13778) [-2.52656] | 0.038017 (0.02826) [1.37904] |
| R-squared | 0.329377 | 0.023648 |
| Adj. R-squared | 0.262535 | -0.042097 |
| Sum sq. resid | 8.717131 | 0.573960 |
| S.E. equation | 0.417544 | 0.106870 |
| F-statistics | 4.319366 | 0.555730 |
| Log likelihood | -27.37884 | 48.93658 |
| Akaike AIC | 1.192101 | -1.533449 |
| Schwarz SC | 1.499103 | -1.334447 |
| Mean dependent | 0.006449 | 0.034073 |
| S.D. dependent | 0.480218 | 0.104090 |



Long-run relationship between Economic growth and Inflation i.e. (series moving independently, or not far away).



In the short-run there is some adjustment process makes the errors converge in the long run.

Empirical results

Inflation threshold

| k | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-stat | Prob. | IP | RSS |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|
| 1% | C | 11.26109 | 6.023665 | 1.863561 | 0.0689 | 0.920096 | 1.609788 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.347299 | 0.296726 | -2.343515 | 0.0289 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | -0.103630 | 0.139461 | -0.036212 | 0.9713 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.757776 | 0.435488 | -1.674182 | 0.1010 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | 0.127089 | 0.486375 | 0.061874 | 0.9551 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | -0.112169 | 0.884098 | -0.091977 | 0.9271 | | | |
| 2% | C | 11.22547 | 5.732982 | 1.964572 | 0.0555 | 0.919628 | 1.612588 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.695382 | 0.352972 | -1.663756 | 0.1030 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | -0.005774 | 0.193071 | -0.136695 | 0.5941 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.729103 | 0.426912 | 1.738642 | 0.0819 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | 0.039821 | 0.407976 | 0.255211 | 0.7907 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | -0.081372 | 0.848913 | -0.133389 | 0.8945 | | | |
| 3% | C | 11.83704 | 6.065646 | 1.951488 | 0.0574 | 0.914854 | 1.610802 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.678436 | 0.302147 | -2.238765 | 0.0303 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | 0.068872 | 0.153167 | 0.449654 | 0.6552 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.688418 | 0.447982 | 0.1526708 | 0.1315 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | -0.008437 | 0.501236 | -0.016854 | 0.9896 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | -0.155753 | 0.882174 | -0.176556 | 0.8607 | | | |
| 4% | C | 11.12185 | 5.994956 | 1.855201 | 0.0704 | 0.910100 | 1.638175 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.678168 | 0.305203 | -2.222027 | 0.0316 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | -0.064011 | 0.175768 | -0.367590 | 0.7150 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.771012 | 0.454827 | 1.696178 | 0.0973 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | 0.078570 | 0.502460 | 0.156371 | 0.8765 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | -0.078492 | 0.878664 | -0.089635 | 0.9291 | | | |
| 5% | C | 10.00191 | 0.333688 | 1.579181 | 0.1218 | 0.902674 | 1.662471 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.696219 | 0.307926 | -2.267623 | 0.0286 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | -0.172820 | 0.233416 | -0.740390 | 0.4632 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.724566 | 0.449984 | 1.610263 | 0.1148 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | -0.009017 | 0.523736 | -0.133784 | 0.8908 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | 0.120335 | 0.936757 | 0.128459 | 0.8984 | | | |
| 6% | C | 5.178689 | 3.622689 | 1.429732 | 0.1617 | 0.942999 | 0.909238 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.818125 | 0.216961 | -3.851492 | 0.0005 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | -0.026771 | 0.120520 | -0.222130 | 0.8255 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.502347 | 0.358433 | 1.577245 | 0.1257 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | -0.030303 | 0.314882 | -0.096238 | 0.9239 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | 0.767024 | 0.500753 | 1.531747 | 0.1346 | | | |
| 7% | C | 15.28778 | 8.235344 | 1.886362 | 0.0708 | 0.892119 | 1.600223 |
| | $\Delta \log INFL$ | -0.565965 | 0.356892 | -1.584973 | 0.1208 | | |
| | $D(\Delta \log INFL-k)$ | 0.119164 | 0.163268 | 0.730136 | 0.4696 | | |
| | $\Delta \log GCap$ | 0.789819 | 0.459753 | 1.717869 | 0.0955 | | |
| | $\Delta \log TTD$ | 0.158424 | 0.529530 | 0.299178 | 0.7664 | | |
| $\Delta \log POP$ | -0.669238 | 1.199585 | -0.557802 | 0.5800 | | | |

Empirical results

Inflation threshold

■ Inflation threshold estimation

- The estimated result for the values of k from 1% to 12%, indicating a significant relationship between the variable of inflation and economic growth. As shown in the table above, when $k = 6$, the value of R-square is (0.942999) which kept increasing to attain a threshold at $k=12$ for R-square (0.852218), indicating an inverse proportion between R-square and Residual sum of squares (RSS) as seen when R-square was at its peak (0.9429
- Furthermore, the R^2 is at max when $k = 6\%$, with coefficients of $D(\log INFL \ k)$ significantly decreasing, when $k \geq 6\%$ which indicates a rate that will inhibit growth negatively. However, it could be seen that when $k \leq 6$, there seems not to be a large difference between the coefficients of $D(\log INFL \ k)$ which could be an indication of a stable growth.

Empirical results

Inflation threshold

■ Inflation threshold estimation

- 1 The estimated result for the values of k from 1% to 12%, indicating a significant relationship between the variable of inflation and economic growth. As shown in the table above, when $k = 6$, the value of R-square is (0.942999) which kept increasing to attain a threshold at $k=12$ for R-square (0.852218), indicating an inverse proportion between R-square and Residual sum of squares (RSS) as seen when R-square was at its peak (0.9429
- 2 Furthermore, the R^2 is at max when $k = 6\%$, with coefficients of $D(\log INFL \ k)$ significantly decreasing, when $k \geq 6\%$ which indicates a rate that will inhibit growth negatively. however, it could be seen that when $k \leq 6$, there seems not to be a large difference between the coefficients of $D(\log INFL \ k)$ which could be an indication of a stable growth.

Empirical results

Inflation threshold

■ Inflation threshold estimation

- 1 The estimated result for the values of k from 1% to 12%, indicating a significant relationship between the variable of inflation and economic growth. As shown in the table above, when $k = 6$, the value of R-square is (0.942999) which kept increasing to attain a threshold at $k=12$ for R-square (0.852218), indicating an inverse proportion between R-square and Residual sum of squares (RSS) as seen when R-square was at its peak (0.9429
- 2 Furthermore, the R^2 is at max when $k = 6\%$, with coefficients of $D(\log INFL \ k)$ significantly decreasing, when $k \geq 6\%$ which indicates a rate that will inhibit growth negatively. however, it could be seen that when $k \leq 6$, there seems not to be a large difference between the coefficients of $D(\log INFL \ k)$ which could be an indication of a stable growth.

Conclusion



Remark

- ❑ There is a positive long-run relationship between the two variables.
- ❑ Nigerian economy will decline above 6% level of inflation.
- ❑ This is in support of the work of (Kremer, Bick & Nautz, 2013) and (Espinonza, Leon & Prasad, 2010) came to the conclusion that inflation between 10 – 17% will not trigger much of instability for developing economies.
- ❑ Also, the work of Khan and Senhadji (2001) and Vaona (2012) both supported the notion that 1% and 11% inflation threshold will not undermine both developed and developing economies.

Conclusion



Remark

- There is a positive long-run relationship between the two variables.
- Nigerian economy will decline above 6% level of inflation.
- This is in support of the work of (Kremer, Bick & Nautz, 2013) and (Espinonza, Leon & Prasad, 2010) came to the conclusion that inflation between 10 – 17% will not trigger much of instability for developing economies.
- Also, the work of Khan and Senhadji (2001) and Vaona (2012) both supported the notion that 1% and 11% inflation threshold will not undermine both developed and developing economies.

Conclusion



Remark

- There is a positive long-run relationship between the two variables.
- Nigerian economy will decline above 6% level of inflation.
- This is in support of the work of (Kremer, Bick & Nautz, 2013) and (Espinonza, Leon & Prasad, 2010) came to the conclusion that inflation between 10 – 17% will not trigger much of instability for developing economies.
- Also, the work of Khan and Senhadji (2001) and Vaona (2012) both supported the notion that 1% and 11% inflation threshold will not undermine both developed and developing economies.

Conclusion



Remark

- There is a positive long-run relationship between the two variables.
- Nigerian economy will decline above 6% level of inflation.
- This is in support of the work of (Kremer, Bick & Nautz, 2013) and (Espinonza, Leon & Prasad, 2010) came to the conclusion that inflation between 10 – 17% will not trigger much of instability for developing economies.
- Also, the work of Khan and Senhadji (2001) and Vaona (2012) both supported the notion that 1% and 11% inflation threshold will not undermine both developed and developing economies.

Conclusion



Remark

- There is a positive long-run relationship between the two variables.
- Nigerian economy will decline above 6% level of inflation.
- This is in support of the work of (Kremer, Bick & Nautz, 2013) and (Espinonza, Leon & Prasad, 2010) came to the conclusion that inflation between 10 – 17% will not trigger much of instability for developing economies.
- Also, the work of Khan and Senhadji (2001) and Vaona (2012) both supported the notion that 1% and 11% inflation threshold will not undermine both developed and developing economies.

References

-  Ahmed, H.L., and Zaid, S.A. *Inflation and Economic: An estimate of the threshold level of inflation in the U.S Ver. II.* Journal of Economics and Finance, 7(6), 23-34, 2016.
-  Barro, Robert. *"Inflation and Economic Growth"* NBER Working Paper, 5326, 1995.
-  Dickey, D.A. and Fuller, W.A *Likelihood Ratio Statistics for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root* Econometrica, Vol. 49, pp. 1057-1072, 1981.
-  Faria, J. R. and Carneiro, F.G., *"Does High Inflation Affect Growth in the Long and Short-run?"* Journal of Applied Economics, Vol. IV, No. 1, pp. 89-105, 2001.
-  Khan, M.S. and Senhadji, S.A., *Threshold Effects in the Relationship between Inflation and Growth* IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 48, No. 1., pp 1-21, 2001.
-  Raza, J., Naqvi, M.A. *Economic growth and inflation: A time series analysis of Pakistan* International Journal of Innovative Research and Development, 2(6), 689-702, 2013.



The end



**ECO-ENA: Economics & ECO-
Engineering Associate, Inc.®**

ECO ENA Researches & Policy Analyses

www.eco-ena.ca

**The 9th Annual Conference of Economic Forum of
Entrepreneurship & International Business**

Venue: LMH, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

Date: February 1st, 2023.

